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Доклад посвящен конференции экспертов ИФЛА по международным правилам каталогизации — рассмотрению проекта Положения о международных принципах каталогизации и рекомендациях по международным правилам каталогизации. Последний документ будет представлять собой набор правил, которые после взаимного согласования должны быть включены во все правила каталогизации во всех странах мира.

This paper will focus on the IFLA Meeting of Experts on an International Cataloguing Code — looking at the draft Statement of International Cataloguing Principles and recommendations towards an International Cataloguing Code. The latter will be rules that we can agree should be in all cataloguing codes worldwide.

Доповідь присвячена конференції експертів ІФЛА з міжнародних правил каталогізації — розгляду проекту Положення про міжнародні принципи каталогізації і Рекомендації з міжнародних правил каталогізації. Останній документ буде представляти собою набір правил, котрі після взаємного узгодження повинні бути включені у всі правила каталогізації всіх країн світу.

The IFLA Cataloguing Section has been the center of major international standards for cataloguing for nearly 50 years. The most recent standards have been:

• the Paris Principles of 1961 and the current activities to update and expand those principles through the IFLA Meetings of Experts on an International Cataloguing Code (IME ICC),
• the International Standard for Bibliographic Description of 1969,
• the Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records of 1998, and
• the concepts for a virtual international authority file 2003+.

Background

The International Conference on Cataloguing Principles was in 1961 in Paris—44 years ago. Remarkably, these principles form the basis for nearly every cataloguing code used in the world today.

A few years ago, Natalia Kasparova, from the Russian State Library in Moscow, who was at that time a member of the Cataloguing Section of IFLA, suggested that IFLA should have an international conference to review the Paris Principles in light of today’s environment. Her vision launched a series of worldwide regional meetings to look at the Paris Principles and to broaden them and expand them.

To date, we have held 2 of the regional meetings: the first in Frankfurt, Germany for the European rule makers and cataloguing experts and the second in Buenos Aires, Argentina for the cataloguing experts from the Latin American and Caribbean countries.

The first meeting in July 2003 brought together 54 experts from 32 European countries, as well as representatives for the Anglo-American Cataloguing Rules from the United Kingdom, Australia, and the United States. The Russian participants were Natalia Kasparova, Ljubov’ Ermakova, Natalia Kulygina, and Natalia Sarycheva from the Russian State Library, and Elena Zagorskaya, Tatiana Maskhoulia, and Vladimir Skvortzov from the National Library of Russia. Ukraine’s participants were Irina Antonenko, Cataloguing Department Head and Anatoliy Brovkin, Deputy Director-General of the national Library of the Ukraine. Not all of the participants were able to attend the Frankfurt meeting but were included in all the online discussions and voting process to agree on the Statement of principles and the Glossary terms.

The European meeting was preceded by a survey of the cataloguing codes that are used throughout Europe today. The responses revealed the use of 18 codes —some variations of the others. All 18 respondents to the survey indicated that their rules are based on the Paris Principles for choice and form of headings and entry words.

For the second regional meeting in August 2004, there were originally 95 invited participants with 45 registered participants, including the 31 national representatives from 14 countries in Latin America and the Caribbean. They all came together to meet for the first time in person — as was also true for the European participants. A great
benefit of these meetings was just getting to know the colleagues working on the same issues in the various countries. The members of the Planning Committee added 6 more countries for the total of 45 registered attendees. At the meeting in Buenos Aires, there was a lot of enthusiasm on the part of the participants, and I think they made some excellent suggestions that will further the development of these principles. In general there was agreement among the Latin American and Caribbean participants with the European draft Statement of principles with a few suggestions for improvements. Those suggestions from the Buenos Aires participants were voted on by the 95 invited representatives from all the Latin American and Caribbean countries to be sure everyone agreed, and then the resulting recommendations were shared with the European participants to get their reaction, and for the most part there was agreement. There are still a few points being discussed and we hope to reach agreement this summer.

**IME ICC Goals**

The goal of this series of IFLA regional meetings is:

*To increase the ability to share cataloguing information worldwide by promoting standards for the content of bibliographic and authority records used in library catalogues.*

The objectives are to

*To develop, review, and update the draft Statement of Principles,*

*To see if we can get closer together in cataloging practices,*

and

*To make recommendations for a possible future International Cataloguing Code.*

This would be a code for code makers — to identify the rules that we can agree should be in all cataloguing codes. A new IFLA working Group has recently been formed to review the recommendations from these first two IME ICC meetings and the future three meetings and suggest the basic rules.

**Paris Principles**

Let me remind you of the topics covered in the 1961 Paris Principles. The statement covers these sections:

- Scope,
- Function,
- Structure of the catalogue,
- Kinds of entry,
- Use of multiple entries,
- Choice of uniform heading,
- Single personal author,
- Entry under corporate bodies — very limited situations,
- Multiple authorship,
- Works entered under title, including principles for uniform headings for works and other issues related to serials, and finally the Entry word for personal names.

We asked the rule makers in Europe to compare their codes in each area and explain where they differed, how they differed, and to explain why, if they could.

After the analysis of existing cataloguing codes, the participants developed a draft Statement of Principles to replace the 1961 Paris Principles and broaden them to cover all types of materials, not just books, and to cover description and access. The Paris Principles were limited to choice and form of entry.

Like the Paris Principles the current draft principles build on the great cataloging traditions of the world, as well as the newly developed conceptual models — FRBR (Functional Requirements for Bibliographic records), FRAR — for authority records, and a future functional requirement for subjects (a new IFLA group was identified to address subjects following the Buenos Aires meeting).

First and foremost the principles are intended to serve the convenience of the users as the primary goal. This is sometimes in conflict with other principles such as consistency, but should be the main guiding factor.

So far the draft 2003 Statement has been translated into the following languages: Bulgarian, Chinese, Croatian, Czech, English, Finnish, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Italian, Japanese, Korean, Lithuanian, Portuguese, Romanian, Russian, Slovak, and Spanish. The updated 2005 version is available in English and Spanish. We have encouraged the participants to translate the draft statement, recommendations, and background papers into their own languages, and we will post additional translations on our Web site as they are received.

All of these translations are available through the meeting Web sites at the following addresses:

IME ICC1 (Frankfurt)

http://www.ddb.de/news/ifla_conf_index.htm

IME ICC2 (Buenos Aires)
The statement will remain a draft until we complete all of the regional meetings and reach worldwide consensus.

The report from the Frankfurt meeting is now available from IFLA — published in English by Saur this year and the draft text approved by the European participants is available on the meeting Web sites. The report from the Buenos Aires meeting will be available from Saur this summer with the draft text approved by the Latin American and Caribbean participants. We published a brief report of the meetings for ICBC (International Cataloguing and Bibliographic Control — the IFLA newsletter) and encourage participants to publish articles and give presentations about the draft statement and recommendations.

Draft Statement of International Cataloguing Principles

The draft Statement is arranged with a general introduction and then the following sections:

1. Scope
2. Entities, Attributes, Relationships
3. Functions of the Catalogue
4. Bibliographic Description
5. Access Points
6. Authority Records

At the end are objectives for the construction of cataloguing codes. There is also an accompanying Glossary to help translators understand the English terms and concepts used. The following is the current draft of January 2005. The red and strike through texts are changes recommended by IME ICC2 participants. We’ll briefly go over each section.
Introduction

The Statement of Principles – commonly known as the “Paris Principles” – was approved by the International Conference on Cataloguing Principles in 1961. Its goal of serving as a basis for international standardization in cataloguing has certainly been achieved: most of the cataloguing codes that were developed worldwide since that time followed the Principles strictly, or at least to a high degree.

Over forty years later, having a common set of international cataloguing principles has become even more desirable as cataloguers and their clients use OPACs (Online Public Access Catalogues) around the world. Now, at the beginning of the 21st century, an effort has been made by IFLA to adapt the Paris Principles to objectives that are applicable to online library catalogues and beyond. The first of these objectives is to serve the convenience of the users of the catalogue.

These new principles replace and broaden the Paris Principles from just textual works to all types of materials and from just the choice and form of entry to all aspects of the bibliographic and authority records used in library catalogues.

The following draft principles cover:
1. Scope
2. Entities, Attributes, and Relationships
3. Functions of the Catalogue
4. Bibliographic Description
5. Access Points
6. Authority Records
7. Foundations for Search Capabilities

These new principles build on the great cataloguing traditions of the world, and also on the conceptual models of the IFLA documents Functional Requirements of Bibliographic Records (FRBR) and Functional Requirements and Numbering of Authority Records (FRANAR), which extend the Paris Principles to the realm of subject cataloguing.

It is hoped these principles will increase the international sharing of bibliographic and authority data and guide cataloguing rule makers in their efforts to develop an international cataloguing code.

---

STATEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL CATALOGUING PRINCIPLES
Draft of January 2005

1. Scope

The principles stated here are intended to guide the development of cataloguing codes. They apply to bibliographic and authority records and current library catalogues. The principles also can be applied to bibliographies and data files created by libraries, archives, museums, and other communities.

They aim to provide a consistent approach to descriptive and subject cataloguing of bibliographic resources of all kinds.

The highest principle for the construction of cataloguing codes should be the convenience of the users of the catalogue.

2. Entities, Attributes, and Relationships

2.1. Entities in Bibliographic Records

For the creation of bibliographic records the following entities, covering products of intellectual or artistic endeavour, are to be considered:

- Work
- Expression
- Manifestation
- Item

2.1.1. Bibliographic records should typically reflect manifestations. These manifestations may embody a collection of works, an individual work, or a component part of a work. Manifestations may appear in one or more physical units.

In general, a separate bibliographic record should be created for each physical format (manifestation).

2.2. Entities in Authority Records

Authority records should document controlled forms of names at least for persons, families, corporate bodies, and subjects. Entities that serve as the subjects of works include:

- Work
- Expression
- Manifestation
- Item
- Person
- Family
- Corporate Body
- Concept
- Object
- Event
- Place

2.3. Attributes

The attributes that identify each entity should be used as data elements in bibliographic and authority records.

2.4. Relationships

Bibliographically significant relationships among the entities should be identified through the catalogue.

3. Functions of the Catalogue

The functions of the catalogue are to enable a user:

---

1 Work, expression, manifestation, and item are the Group 1 entities described in the FRBR/FRANAR model.
2 Persons, families, and corporate bodies are the Group 2 entities described in the FRBR/FRANAR model.
3 Concept, object, event, and place are the Group 3 entities described in the FRBR/FRANAR model.
3.1. to **find** bibliographic resources in a collection (real or virtual) as the result of a search using attributes or relationships of the resources:

3.1.1. to **locate** a single resource

3.1.2. to **locate** sets of resources representing

- all resources belonging to the same work
- all resources belonging to the same expression
- all resources belonging to the same manifestation
- all works and expressions of a given person, family, or corporate body
- all resources on a given subject
- all resources defined by other criteria (such as language, country of publication, publication date, physical format, etc.) usually as a secondary limiting of a search result.\(^1\)

It is recognized that, due to economic restraints and cataloguing practices, some library catalogues will lack bibliographic records for components of works or individual works within works.

3.2. to **identify** a bibliographic resource or agent (that is, to confirm that the entity described in a record corresponds to the entity sought or to distinguish between two or more entities with similar characteristics);

3.3. to **select** a bibliographic resource that is appropriate to the user’s needs (that is, to choose a resource that meets the user’s requirements with respect to content, physical format, etc. or to reject a resource as being inappropriate to the user’s needs);

3.4. to **acquire** or **obtain** access to an item described (that is, to provide information that will enable the user to acquire an item through purchase, loan, etc. or to access an item electronically through an online connection to a remote source); or to acquire or obtain an authority record or bibliographic record.

3.5. to **navigate** a catalogue (that is, through the logical arrangement of bibliographic information and presentation of clear ways to move about, including presentation of relationships among works, expressions, manifestations, and items).

4. **Bibliographic Description**

4.1. The descriptive portion of the bibliographic record should be based on an internationally agreed standard.\(^2\)

4.2. Descriptions may be at several **levels of completeness**, based on the purpose of the catalogue or bibliographic file.

5. **Access Points**

5.1. **General**

Access points for retrieving bibliographic and authority records must be formulated following the general principles (see 1. **Scope**). They may be controlled or uncontrolled.

Uncontrolled access points may include such things as the title proper as found on a manifestation or keywords added to or found anywhere in a bibliographic record.

Controlled access points provide the consistency needed for locating sets of resources and must be normalized following a standard. These **normalized forms** (also called “authorized headings”) should be recorded in authority records along with **variant forms used as references**.

5.1.1. **Choice of access points**

5.1.1.1. Include as access points to a **bibliographic record** the titles of works and expressions (controlled) and titles of manifestations (usually uncontrolled) and the controlled forms of names of the creators of works.

In the case of corporate bodies as creators, access by corporate name is limited to works that are by their nature necessarily the expression of the collective thought or activity of the corporate body, even if signed by a person in the capacity of an officer or servant of the corporate body, or when the wording of the title, taken in conjunction with the nature of the work, clearly implies that the corporate body is collectively responsible for the content of the work.

---

\(^{1}\) It is recognized that, due to economic restraints and cataloguing practices, some library catalogues will lack bibliographic records for components of works or individual works within works.

\(^{2}\) For the library community that currently is the International Standard Bibliographic Descriptions.
Additionally provide access points to bibliographic records for the controlled forms of names of other persons, families, corporate bodies, and subjects deemed to be important for finding, identifying, and selecting the bibliographic resource being described.

5.1.1.2. Include as access points to an authority record, the authorized form of name for the entity, as well as the variant forms of name. Additional access may be made through related names.

5.1.2. Authorized Headings
The authorized heading for an entity should be the name that identifies the entity in a consistent manner, either as predominantly found on manifestations or a well-accepted name suited to the users of the catalogue (e.g., ‘conventional name’). Further identifying characteristics should be added, if necessary, to distinguish the entity from others of the same name.

5.1.2.1. If a person, family, or a corporate body uses variant names or variant forms of names, one name or one form of name should be chosen as the authorized heading for each distinct persona.

5.1.2.2. If there are variant titles for one work, one title should be chosen as uniform title.

5.1.3. Language
When names have been expressed in several languages, preference should be given to a heading based on information found on manifestations of the expression in the original language and script; but if the original language and script is one not normally used in the catalogue, the heading may be based on forms found on manifestations or in references in one of the languages and scripts best suited to the users of the catalogue. Access should be provided in the original language and script whenever possible, through either the authorized heading or a reference. If transliterations are desirable, an international standard for script conversion should be followed.

5.2. Forms of Names for Persons

5.2.1. When the name of a person consists of several words, the choice of entry word should be determined by conventions of the country and person’s country of citizenship, or

5.2.2. when that country of citizenship is not determinable, by agreed usage in the country in which the person generally resides or

5.2.3. if it is not possible to determine where the person generally resides, choice of entry word should follow agreed usage in the language most associated with that person generally uses, as found in manifestations or general reference sources.

5.3. Forms of Names for Families

5.3.1. When the name of a family consists of several words, the choice of entry word should be determined by conventions of the country most associated with that family or

5.3.2. if it is not possible to determine the country most associated with that family, choice of entry word should follow agreed usage in conventions of the country and language most associated with that family generally uses, as found in manifestations or general reference sources.

5.4. Forms of Names for Corporate Bodies

5.4.1. The corporate name should be given in direct order, as commonly found on manifestations.

5.4.2. For jurisdictions, the authorized heading should include the currently used form of the name of the territory concerned in the language and script best suited to the needs of the users of the catalogue.

5.4.23. If the corporate body has used in successive periods different names that cannot be regarded as minor variations of one name, each significant name change should be considered a new entity and the corresponding authority records for each entity should be linked by see-also (earlier/later) references.

5.5. Forms of Uniform Titles
A uniform title may either be a title that can stand alone or it may be a name/title combination or a title qualified by the addition of identifying elements, such as a corporate name, a place, language, date, etc.
5.5.1. The uniform title should be the original title or the title most frequently found in manifestations of the work. Under certain defined circumstances, a commonly used title in the language and script of the catalogue may be preferred to the original title as the basis for the authorized heading. Always add language and date.

6. Authority Records

6.1. Authority records should be constructed to control the authorized forms of names and references used as access points for such entities as persons, families, corporate bodies, works, expressions, manifestations, items, concepts, objects, events, and places.

7. Foundations for Search Capabilities

7.1. Search and Retrieval

Access points are the elements of bibliographic records that 1) provide reliable retrieval of bibliographic and authority records and their associated bibliographic resources and 2) limit search results.

7.1.1. Searching devices

Names, titles, and subjects should be searchable and retrievable by means of any device available in the given library catalogue or bibliographic file, for example by full forms of names, by key words, by phrases, by truncation, etc.

7.1.2. Indispensable access points are those based on the main attributes and relationships of each entity in the bibliographic or authority record.

7.1.2.1. Indispensable access points for bibliographic records include:
- the name of the creator or first named creator when more than one is named
- the title proper or supplied title for the manifestation
- the year(s) of publication or issuance
- the uniform title for the work/expression
- subject headings, subject terms
- classification numbers
- standard numbers, identifiers, and ‘key titles’ for the described entity.

7.1.2.2. Indispensable access points for authority records include:
- the authorized name or title of the entity
- variant forms of name or title for the entity.

7.1.3. Additional access points

Attributes from other areas of the bibliographic description or the authority record may serve as optional access points or as filtering or limiting devices when large numbers of records are retrieved. Such attributes in bibliographic records include, but are not limited to:
- names of additional creators beyond the first
- names of performers or persons, families, or corporate bodies in other roles than creator
- parallel titles, caption titles, etc.
- uniform title of the series
- bibliographic record identifiers
- language
- country of publication
- physical medium.

Such attributes in authority records include, but are not limited to:
- names or titles of related entities
- authority record identifiers.
APPENDIX
Objectives for the Construction of Cataloguing Codes

There are several objectives that direct the construction of cataloguing codes\(^1\). The highest is the convenience of the user.

* **Convenience of the user** of the catalogue. Decisions taken in the making of descriptions and controlled forms of names for access should be made with the user in mind.

* **Common usage.** Normalized vocabulary used in descriptions and access should be in accord with that of the majority of users.

* **Representation.** Entities in descriptions and controlled forms of names for access should be based on the way an entity describes itself.

* **Accuracy.** The entity described should be faithfully portrayed.

* **Sufficiency and necessity.** Only those elements in descriptions and controlled forms of names for access that are required to fulfill user tasks and are essential to uniquely identify an entity should be included.

* **Significance.** Elements should be bibliographically significant.

* **Economy.** When alternative ways exist to achieve a goal, preference should be given to the way that best furthers overall economy (i.e., the least cost or the simplest approach).

* **Standardization.** Descriptions and construction of access points should be standardized to the extent and level possible. This enables greater consistency which in turn increases the ability to share bibliographic and authority records.

* **Integration.** The descriptions for all types of materials and controlled forms of names of entities should be based on a common set of rules, to the extent possible.

The rules in a cataloguing code should be

* **Defensible and not arbitrary.**

It is recognized that at times these objectives may contradict each other and a defensible, practical solution will be taken.

[With regard to subject thesauri, there are other objectives that apply but are not yet included in this statement.]

Future Activities

The participants agreed to continue the online discussion to hear from people on the Internet – you can subscribe to our public discussion list through our Web site. We also have a closed discussion list for the participants, at their request.

The participants suggested contacting and involving other information communities to work towards a shared vocabulary, shared concepts, and shared goals – continuing work already started with Functional Requirements for Bibliographic Records (FRBR). They also suggested seeking a broader worldwide review beyond IFLA to involve other standard organizations or to follow a process for worldwide review like that used for ISO standards. We will be exploring the best ways to do this.

The next meetings will be in December 2005 in Cairo, Egypt among participants in the Arabic-speaking Middle East, then in August 2006 in Seoul, Korea for Asian participants hosted by the National Library of Korea, and a final meeting in 2007 in South Africa before the IFLA meeting in Durban for African participants.

This is a very exciting process, and we hope will provide guidance to simplify cataloging practices and improve the user’s experience in finding information they need.

---

\(^{1}\) Based on bibliographic literature, especially that of Ranganathan and Leibniz as described in Svenonius, E. *The Intellectual Foundation of Information Organization*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2000, p. 68.